

## CCHF Interactive Session – 20 MINUTES

### GROUP 5 – PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS

**This session is all about ideas and thinking outside the box. There are no right or wrong answers. We have divided the groups up into multi-disciplinary groups to exchange perspectives.**

#### **Instructions:**

Identify a scribe who will be a participant, as well as take point form notes. Please write neatly as these notes will be used for compiling from all groups and be disseminated following the conference.

The notes should identify the 'voice' of the expert (architect, healthcare exec, engineer, vendor etc...) Not the individual name /company.

There are two questions aim to spend 10 minutes on each question.

When the time is up, each group will identify one or two main ideas that came out of your discussion. There will be some opportunity for comment clarification by the audience.

Thank you very much. We will be very interested in your feedback from this session on our conference survey.

#### **Questions**

- A. PPP is a fairly new delivery model for our large hospitals and networks. When and how do we analyze its success outside of the PPP model?

We need to analyze success not only terms of the narrow stakeholders involved in PPP but from a broader perspective. Contractor's success does not equate to Miinsitry's success.

Risk transfer are contractual arrangements. How to look at tying clinical risks or outcomes to the project.

Proponent members to interact with end users. How do we synthesize this into a model to shift the ppp model?

What are the evaluation criteria? What are the Post Occupancy Evaluation Tools and how can we define the terms of the evaluation to integrate these values?

- B. What would we do to shift this model, aligning more closely with societal, urban and architectural aspirations knowing the rapidly changing complexion of healthcare?

P3 process does not always support innovation. How do you incentivize innovation?

Owner / User interface pushes towards exemplar design instead of indicative design.

Increase facetime between opponents for the evaluation teams.

Introduce design competitions into the process.

Recommendation support a hybrid of P3 and negotiated contracts.

DRAFT